## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/576,312	BAKX, JOHANNUS LEOPOLDUS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kim-Kwok CHU	2627		

	KIIII-KWOK CI IU	2021	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	ionae with 27 CED 44 27 must be	Clad within two manth	f th  -to -f
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the NEMENTS.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		will mot be ontored be-	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	l6 and 41.33(a)).		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mplia <mark>nt Amen</mark> dment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 8-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/HOA T NGUYEN/	Wim Chul		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627	/Kim Chu/ Patent Examiner, Art Un	it 262 <b>7</b>	
	ratent Examiner, Art Un	IL 2021	

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

- 1. In Claim 1, third paragraph, lines 4-11, lines the amended feature "said common current output node being directly connected to a processing terminal of the signal processing circuit so that the processing terminal is directly connected to both the corresponding current output of the first optical detector unit and the corresponding current output of the second optical detector unit through the common current output node" requires further search and consideration;
- 2. In Claim 1, fourth paragraph, line 1, the amended feature "when the first light source is in use...." requires further search and consideration; and
- 3. Similarly, in Claim 15, lines 22-26, 27 respectively, the amended features "so that the processing terminal is directly connected to both the first optical detector unit and the second optical detector unit through the common current output node" and "when the first light source is in use...." require further search and consideration.

Examiner: /Kim-Kwok CHU/

(571) 272-7585